

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	D. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/642,714		08/18/2003	David Harris	FIL 1864	4374
321	7590	12/05/2005	•	EXAMINER	
SENNIGER POWERS				SNOW, BRUCE EDWARD	
		AN SQUARE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
16TH FLO	OOR		•	ARTORIT	TAI ER NOMBER
ST LOUIS	S. MO 631	02		3738	

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\mathcal{S}$	p

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/642,714	HARRIS, DAVID					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bruce E. Snow	3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Se	eptember 2005.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is					
, ···	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·		•					
Disposition of Claims							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,10,11,18 and 21-27 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 5-8, 19, 20,</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 9 and 12-17 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		(4) (7)					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority	, ,						
application from the International Bureau	•	a in this National Stage					
• •	, , , ,	d					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
Notice of References Cited (P10-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9, 12, and 13-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/27/05 have been fully considered. The amendment to claim 9 defines over art; upon rewriting claim 9 into independent form, claims 10-11 will be added back into prosecution.

Applicant has amended claim 1 adding the functional language to describe how the device is intended to be used. MPEP 2114 states:

# MANNER OF OPERATING THE DEVICE DOES NOT DIFFERENTIATE APPARATUS CLAIM FROM THE PRIOR ART

A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the <u>structural</u> limitations of the claim.

Applicant's claims fail to define over both Sayegh and Florio meeting all structural limitation. Both devices are fully capable of being implanted in the body and positioned to replace a flexor tendon pulley of some undefined animal.

Applicant's amendment to claim 8 overcame the rejection under 35 USC 112 and the objection to the drawings.

Art Unit: 3738

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-3, 5-6, 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sayegh (6,226,839).

Sayegh teaches a prosthetic implant for surgical implantation in a hand of a patient comprising an elongate flexible member 12 for passing snugly around a

Application/Control Number: 10/642,714

Art Unit: 3738

surgically exposed bone of the hand, the elongate flexible member having first and second end portions at opposite ends thereof, the first end portion of the elongate flexible member being provided with slot defining means 14 defining a slot for receipt of the second end portion of the elongate flexible member, and locking means including 52, 54 to secure the second end portion to the first end portion after insertion of the second end portion in the slot.

Regarding claims 5 and 6, as shown in figure 6, the longitudinal axis of the slot is perpendicular to a longitudinal axis of the end portion, however, a transverse axis of the slot parallel to the transverse axis of the end portion. Additionally the elongate member is flexible capable of forming infinite axes; it is noted applicant claims both.

Claim 19, see plug 70.

Claim 20, "snap fit end", see element 76.

Claims 1-3, 5-8, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Florio (3,469,573).

Florio teaches a prosthetic implant for surgical implantation in a hand of a patient comprising an elongate flexible member for passing snugly around a surgically exposed bone of the hand, the elongate flexible member having first and second end portions at opposite ends thereof, the first end portion of the elongate flexible member being provided with slot defining means 2 defining a slot for receipt of the second end portion 6 of the elongate flexible member, and locking means including 3 to secure the second end portion to the first end portion after insertion of the second end portion in the slot.

Regarding claims 5 and 6, the longitudinal axis of the slot is perpendicular to a longitudinal axis of the end portion, however, a transverse axis of the slot parallel to the transverse axis of the end portion. Additionally the elongate member is flexible capable of forming infinite axes; it is noted applicant claims both.

Regarding claims 7-8, see elements 9 and 10.

Claim 19, the device of Florio is fully capable of fulfilling the claim language.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3738

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce E. Snow whose telephone number is (571) 272-4759. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bes

PRIMARY EXAMINER